08/246, 113



UNITED STATE. __EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/246,113	05/19/94	KARAVAKIS	. P:	TESSERAD.002
			LOVERING	EXAMINER .
		12M1/0928	APTIMIT	T page turnes
LERNER DAVI KRUMHÖLZ AN 600 SOUTH A WESTFIELD	D MENTLIK	ta	1208	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	09/28/95
This is a communication f			•	. •
			·	
		Responsive to communication filed on	~1	4419,1994
This application has t	seen examined	Responsive to communication filed on	JULY 2/ AND STEP!	This action is made fin
A shortened statutory per		s action is set to expire mon	th(s),days fr	om the date of this letter.
·	•	e will cause the application to become ab	andoned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
Part 1 THE FOLLOWIN	G ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		
	rences Cited by Exam			stent Drawing Review, PTO-9-
	ited by Applicant, PTC How to Effect Drawin	0-1449. 4. L. g Changes, PTO-1474. 6. C	Notice of Informal Paten	t Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF				
				. ;
1. Claims	3&			are pending in the application
				withdrawn from consideration
				_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are allowed.
4. Claims	52	····································		are rejected.
		-		are objected to.
_				on or election requirement.
_				
_		rmal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which	n are acceptable for exam	nination purposes.
•	•	se to this Office action.		
9. The corrected or are acceptable	substitute drawings ha e; Inot acceptable (ave been received onsee explanation or Notice of Draftsman's	Patent Drawing Review, F	C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings PTO-948).
		heet(s) of drawings, filed on niner (see explanation).	has (have) been	approved by the
11. The proposed dra	wing correction, filed	has been _ □	approved; disapproved	i (see explanation).
		for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The ce al no; filed on		received not been receive
		condition for allowance except for formal parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 21		o the merits is closed in
14. Other				

Serial Number: 08/246,113

Art Unit: 1208

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. \S 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 17, 18, 20-27, 30-35, 37, 38, 40-45, and 48-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Khandros et al. 5,148,265.

See Khandros et al. (esp. abstract; Fig. 7; col. 4, line 36-col. 5, line 2; col. 7, line 15-col. 8, line 17; col. 9, line 48-col. 10, line 24; paragraph bridging col's. 10 and 11; col. 14, line 19-col. 15, line 4; and col. 17, lines 21-44). In this rejection, the mold & interposer of Khandros et al. correspond to

Serial Number: 08/246,113

Art Unit: 1208

applicants' "encapsulation barrier" and "protective barrier", respectively.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Khandros et al. above in view of Getson 77 4,913,930.

The especially pertinent portions of Khandros et al. are referred to in the preceding paragraph. While Khandros et al. may not disclose the use of a plural reactive component encapsulation material, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to use such encapsulation material shown to be old by Getson (col. 3, line 61-col. 5, line 9) in the method of Khandros et al. to take advantage of shorter cure time and low temperatures.

5. Claims 11-15, 35-38, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. \$ 103 as being unpatentable over Khandros et al. above in view of Moser et al. 4,312,116.

The especially pertinent portions of Khandros et al. are referred to in ¶ 3 above. While Khandros et al. may not disclose the use of an aluminum cap or can, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to use such Al cap or can shown to old by Moser et al. (col. 2, line 49-col. 3, line 25) in the method of Khandros et al. where an ultimate product packaged in a cap or can is desired.

Serial Number: 08/246,113 -4-

Art Unit: 1208

6. Claims 16, 19, 39, and 47 aré rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Khandros et al. above in view of Arai et al. 5,304,512.

The especially pertinent portions of Khandros et al. are referred to in ¶ 3 above. While Khandros et al. may not disclose the use of a ring, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to use a ring shown to be old in the art by Arai et al. (col. 8, lines 53-57) in the method of Khandros et al. where an ultimate product package having this type of support is desired.

7. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Khandros et al. above in view of Condra et al. 5,304,252.

The especially pertinent portions of Khandros et al. are referred to in ¶ 3 above. While Khandros et al. may not disclose the use of an interposer, protective barrier or solder mask which is an epoxy acrylic, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to use the epoxy acrylic of Condra et al. (paragraph bridging col \$1/4, and 5) as the interposer, solder mask, etc. in the method of Khandros et al. to take advantage of the desirable properties of said epoxy acrylic (loc cit).

Serial Number: 08/246,113 -5-

Art Unit: 1208

8. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Khandros et al. above in view of Eichelberger et al. 4,918,811.

The especially pertinent portions of Khandros et al. are referred to in ¶ 3 above. While Khandros et al. may not disclose the use of vacuum lamination to apply his interposer or shadow mask, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to use vacuum lamination to apply the "interposer" or shadow mask in the method of Khandros et al. since Eichelberger et al. (col. 7, lines 21-27) disclose of said vacuum lamination as one of a number alternatives for applying polymer films.

9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to provide an enabling disclosure as to "a plurality of mutually reactive materials to form said curable material".

10. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Serial Number: 08/246,113 -6-

Art Unit: 1208

11. Claims 5, 24, 25, 27-31, and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The stated claims are indefinite in lacking antecedent basis in the claim(s) upon which they at least ultimately depend for "the hardening step", "said substantially planar mask", "said solder mask" and "said encapsulation barrier".

- 12. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 1 lacks numerals 29 and 37 and their lead lines; Fig. 7 lacks numeral 29 and its lead line; Fig. 8 lacks numeral 44 and its lead line; Fig. 9 lacks numerals 16 and 18 and their lead lines; Fig. 10A lacks numerals 10 and 26 and their lead lines; and Fig. 10B lacks numeral 48 and its lead line. Correction is required.
- 13. The remaining references listed on the attached form PTO-1449 and form PTO-892 are cumulative to the references applied herein, and/or further show the state of the art.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, room 3C10. The hours of operation are monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 308-4556 or 305-3592.

Serial Number: 08/246,113

Art Unit: 1208

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Lovering at telephone number (703) 308-0443.

LOVERING: jd SEPTEMBER 25, 1995

Michard D. Lovering RICHARD D. LOVERING PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

-7-